Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia



Thematic Section: Upper Paraná River Floodplain

Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia, 2017, vol. 29, e106 http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S2179-975X10216 ISSN 2179-975X on-line version

# Importance of dam-free stretches for fish reproduction: the last remnant in the Upper Paraná River

Importância de trechos livres de represamento para a reprodução dos peixes: o último remanescente do alto rio Paraná

Jislaine Cristina Silva<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Rafael Rogério Rosa<sup>1,2</sup>, Eliana Maria Galdioli<sup>2</sup>,

Claudemir Martins Soares<sup>2</sup>, Wladimir Marques Domingues<sup>3</sup>, Samuel Veríssimo<sup>3</sup>

and Andréa Bialetzki<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais – PEA, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, CEP 87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Laboratório de Ictioplâncton, Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura – NUPÉLIA, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, Bloco G-80, Sala 12, CEP 87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Laboratório de Ictiologia, Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura – NUPÉLIA, Universidade Estadual de Maringá – UEM, Av. Colombo, 5790, Bloco G-80, Sala 12, CEP 87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil

\*e-mail: jislainecbio@gmail.com

**Cite as:** Silva, J.C. et al. Importance of dam-free stretches for fish reproduction: the last remnant in the Upper Paraná River. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia*, 2017, vol. 29, e106.

**Abstract:** Aim: This study uses the abundance of fish eggs and larvae to evaluate the importance of the main channel of the Paraná River and the adjacent areas of the floodplain, in the last dam-free stretch in the Brazilian territory, for the spawning and development of fish of different reproductive guilds, in order to obtain subsidies to assist in the management and conservation policies of this area, focusing on the maintenance of dam-free areas. **Methods:** Data were taken quarterly from August 2013 to May 2015, in 25 sites, grouped into three biotopes: main channel, tributaries and lagoons. Possible spatial variations in fish spawning and development as well as composition and structure of larvae were evaluated. Results: Higher densities of eggs were found in tributaries (Paracaí and Amambai rivers) and greater densities of larvae were observed in lagoons (Saraiva). Significant differences in composition and structure of larvae were detected only between sampling stations. As for taxonomic composition, 29 taxa were recorded, mostly non-migratory. However, long-distance migratory were also widely distributed, such as Brycon orbignyanus, Pseudoplatystoma corruscans, Prochilodus lineatus, Piaractus mesopotamicus and Rhaphiodon vulpinus, as well as invasive species Platanichthys platana and Hemiodus orthonops. In turn, Salminus brasiliensis presented low occurrence. Conclusions: This study evidenced that different species spawn in the region, mainly in tributaries, and their eggs and larvae are transported to the main channel of the Paraná River and adjacent lagoons, to complete their early development. The capture of larvae of important migratory species suggests that this environment still exhibits suitable conditions for their reproduction, mainly due to the presence of dam-free tributaries. Also, they emphasize the importance of the integrity of these environments for the maintenance of the regional fish fauna, and it is extremely important the monitoring of reproduction of the most endangered species, as well as of invasive species.

Keywords: fish; egg and larvae; floodplain; breeding; unregulated tributaries.

CC U

Resumo: Objetivo: Este estudo utiliza a abundância de ovos e larvas de peixes para avaliar a importância do canal principal do rio Paraná e as áreas adjacentes da planície de inundação, no último trecho livre de barramentos em território brasileiro, para a desova e desenvolvimento dos peixes de diferentes guildas reprodutivas, a fim de obter subsídios que auxiliem nas políticas de manejo e conservação desta área, focando na manutenção de áreas livres de represamentos. Métodos: Os dados foram coletados trimestralmente entre agosto de 2013 a maio de 2015, em 25 estações, agrupadas em três biótopos: canal principal, tributários e lagoas. Foram avaliadas possíveis variações espaciais na desova e desenvolvimento dos peixes, assim como na composição e a estrutura das larvas. Resultados: Maiores densidades de ovos foram registradas nos tributários (rios Paracaí e Amambai) e de larvas nas lagoas (Saraiva). Diferenças significativas na composição e estrutura das larvas foram observadas apenas entre as estações de amostragens. Quanto a composição taxonômica, registrou-se 29 táxons, sendo a maioria não migradores. Entretanto, também foram amplamente distribuídas as larvas de migradores de longa distância como Brycon orbignyanus, Pseudoplatystoma corruscans, Prochilodus lineatus, Piaractus mesopotamicus e Rhaphiodon vulpinus, assim como as invasoras Platanichthys platana e Hemiodus orthonops. Já Salminus brasiliensis apresentou baixa ocorrência. Conclusões: Neste estudo fica evidente que diferentes espécies desovam na região, principalmente nos tributários, e seus ovos e larvas são carreados para o canal principal do rio Paraná e lagoas adjacentes, para completarem seu desenvolvimento inicial. A captura de larvas de importantes espécies migradoras, sugerem que este ambiente ainda apresenta condições adequadas para sua reprodução, principalmente, pela presença de tributários livres de represamento. Ainda, reforçam a importância da integridade desses ambientes para a manutenção da ictiofauna regional, sendo de extrema relevância o monitoramento acerca da reprodução das espécies mais ameaçadas, bem como das espécies invasoras.

Palavras-chave: peixes; ovos e larvas; planície de inundação; reprodução; tributários não regulados.

# 1. Introduction

The Paraná River, the main river of the La Plata basin, is the tenth largest in the world in discharge and the fourth in drainage area ( $5 \times 108 \text{ m}^3/\text{year}$ ;  $2.8 \times 106 \text{ km}^2$ , respectively) (Agostinho et al., 2007). From its source, at the confluence of the Paranaíba and Grande rivers, Brazil (lat.  $20^\circ$  S), to its mouth, in the estuary of the La Plata River near Buenos Aires, Argentina (lat.  $34^\circ$  S), this river runs about 3,780 km, draining all south-central South America, from the Andes slopes to the Serra do Mar, near the Atlantic coast (Stevaux, 1994).

The upper Paraná River (includes approximately the first third of the Paraná River basin) is entirely within the Brazilian territory, except for one stretch along the Itaipu Reservoir that borders Paraguay (Agostinho et al., 2008). Its drainage basin comprises more than 10% of the national territory (891,000 km<sup>2</sup>) and, in terms of hydroelectric uses, this region concentrates more than a hundred reservoirs, 26 of them with more than 100 km<sup>2</sup> (Agostinho et al., 2007, 2008, 2013), which corresponds to almost 50% of the total dammed area in Brazil (Agostinho et al., 2008).

The only dam-free stretch of the Paraná River is restricted to 230 kilometers between the dam of Porto Primavera Hydropower Plant (officially known as Engenheiro Sérgio Motta Hydropower Plant) and the Itaipu Hydropower Plant. On the right bank of this stretch, there is an extensive floodplain, which is home to a high biological diversity (Lansac-Tôha et al., 2004; Takeda et al., 2004; Train & Rodrigues, 2004; Agostinho et al., 2005). Due to these characteristics, this area is considered of "Extreme Biological Importance" (MMA, 2002), where three conservation units are located: the Environmental Protection Area of the Islands and floodplain of the Paraná River (Área de Proteção Ambiental das Ilhas e Várzeas do Rio Paraná), covering all its extension, and the areas with the most critical habitats, Ilha Grande National Park (Parque Nacional de Ilha Grande) and Ivinhema River State Park (Parque Estadual das Várzeas do Rio Ivinhema) in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul (Agostinho et al., 2013).

Habitat fragmentation promoted by the construction of dams is one of the largest and deliberate human impacts on natural systems (Dynesius & Nilsson, 1994). In addition to altering the environment at the site of the dam itself, a dam affects the riparian communities upstream, raising water levels and modifying level fluctuations, as well as downstream, by changing flow regimes (Nilsson & Berggren, 2000). These developments are associated with a global decline in the abundance and diversity of freshwater fauna in different regions of the world (Dudgeon, 2000; Bunn & Arthington, 2002; Fu et al., 2003; Agostinho et al., 2008).

For fish, the main impacts caused by the construction of reservoirs are related to changes in the composition and structure of assemblages, especially within the reservoir, and also downstream, such as changes in the seasonal flood cycle (flow control) and disruption of migratory routes of rheophilic species (Agostinho et al., 2008). Moreover, habitat fragmentation also influences the survival of eggs and larvae, since even those fish that can perform reproductive migrations upstream of a reservoir often cannot prevent their eggs and larvae from entering environments inappropriate for their development during the drift process (Dudley & Platania, 2007; Pelicice & Agostinho, 2008).

The remnant of the Paraná River floodplain is of fundamental importance in the maintenance of viable populations of species already eliminated from the upper reaches of the basin (Agostinho et al., 2013), especially due to the presence of tributaries such as Ivinheima, Ivaí, Baía, Amambai, Iguatemi and Piquiri rivers, which still maintain their original characteristics. In this context, this study uses the abundance of eggs and larvae of fish to evaluate the importance of the main channel of the Paraná River and the areas adjacent to the floodplain in the last dam-free stretch of the basin in the Brazilian territory, for the spawning and development of fish of different reproductive guilds, in order to obtain subsidies to assist in the management and conservation policies of this area, focusing on the maintenance of dam-free areas. Unlike other studies already conducted in this area (Baumgartner et al., 2004; Nakatani et al., 2004; Bialetzki et al., 2005; Daga et al., 2009; Gogola et al., 2010, 2013; Reynalte-Tataje et al., 2011, 2013; Barzotto et al., 2015), our approach in the present study allows to evaluate all biotopes (main channel, tributaries and lagoons) of this remnant at the same time, that is, a representation of how the reproductive dynamics of local species occur during reproductive events. The questions to be answered with this approach were: What are the biotopes used for spawning and development? Is there a longitudinal (upstream-downstream) gradient of distribution of fish eggs and larvae? Do species belonging to different reproductive guilds use this remnant during the reproductive process? Are larvae of migratory species found preferentially in tributaries?

# 2. Material and Methods

# 2.1. Study area

The study area is located in the floodplain of the Upper Paraná River, downstream of the dam of Porto Primavera Hydropower Plant (HPP) and upstream of the Itaipu Reservoir. This section presents a large anastomosed channel, with reduced slope (0.09 m/km), sometimes with extensive alluvial plain and large sediment accumulation on the bed, giving rise to bars and small islands (more than 300), sometimes with large islands and more restricted floodplain (Agostinho et al., 2008). The complex anastomosis also involves numerous secondary channels, lagoons, the Baía River and the lower reaches of the Ivaí, Ivinheima, Piquiri, Amambai and Iguatemi rivers, besides the floodplain (Agostinho et al., 2008).

# 2.2. Sampling

Samples were taken in 25 sampling stations distributed in three biotopes: main channel of the Paraná River (upstream of the mouth of tributaries); tributaries and lagoons. The names, codes and location of each sampling site are presented in Table 1

Quarterly samplings were performed from August 2013 to May 2015, always at night, around 20 hours. For the samples, conical-cylindrical plankton nets with 0.5 mm mesh size and a mouth area of 0.1104 m<sup>2</sup> were equipped with a flow meter to obtain the volume of water filtered; nets were exposed or dragged depending on the current velocity, for 10 minutes, in region pelagic at the subsurface (approximately 20 cm below the water surface) in all sampling stations. After, samples were stored in flasks, anesthetized and fixed with 4% formalin, buffered with calcium carbonate.

In laboratory, samples were sorted under a stereomicroscope at 10X magnification in a Bogorov chamber. After sorting, the eggs were only quantified, while the larvae were identified using the development regressive sequence, as recommended by Ahlstrom & Moser (1976) and according to Nakatani et al. (2001), which uses the shape of the body, the presence of barbels, the sequence of formation of the fins, the relative position of the anal opening in relation to the body, the number of vertebrae/myomers and the rays of the fins. After identification, larvae were taxonomically classified according to Reis et al. (2003), Britski et al. (2007) and Graça & Pavanelli (2007). Some larvae that could not be identified at least at the order level were included in the category "unidentified" (newly hatched larvae) and "unidentifiable" (damaged larvae).

In order to evaluate the type of reproductive strategy of the fish species using these environments during reproduction, the larvae identified at least at the genus level were classified according to the reproductive guild to which they belong, namely: non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and no parental care (NMFESC); non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and parental care (NMFECP); non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with internal fertilization (FI); and long-distance migratory with external fertilization (ML), classified according to Fialho et al. (2000), Suzuki et al. (2004), Smith et al. (2013) and Agostinho et al. (2015).

# 2.3. Data analysis

The abundance of the organisms caught was standardized to a volume of 10 m<sup>3</sup> of filtered water according to Tanaka (1973), modified by Nakatani et al. (2001). The mean density of the organisms (D) was obtained by the total number

Table 1.	Physiogr	aphic data	of the sa	mpling	stations	located in	the U	Jpper	Paraná I	River flood	plain.
	1 ()										

Site	Code	Subarea	Location	Biotope	Geographic Coordinate
1	MPARN	Paranapanema	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Paranapanema River	Main Channel	22°39'2.30"S/53°5'26.30"W
2	TPARN	Paranapanema	Paranapanema River	Tributary	22°38'54.00"S/53°5'2.20"W
3	MBAIA	Baía	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Baía River	Main Channel	22°45'38.70"S/53°19'40.60"W
4	TBAIA	Baía	Baía River	Tributary	22°45'34.40"S/53°19'42.90"W
5	MIVIN	Ivinheima	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Ivinhema River	Main Channel	22°59'40.60"S/53°38'41.40"W
6	TIVIN	Ivinheima	Ivinhema River	Tributary	22°59'12.00"S/53°38'56.70"W
7	MIVIH	lvinheiminha	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Ivinheiminha River	Main Channel	23°14'18.50"S/53°43'3.60" W
8	TIVIH	Ivinheiminha	Ivinheiminha River	Tributary	23°14'0.30"S/53°43'24.00" W
9	MIVAI	lvaí	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Ivaí River	Main Channel	23°18'11.80"S/53°41'54.20" W
10	TIVAI	Ivaí	Ivaí River	Tributary	23°18'0.50"S/53°41'32.40" W
11	MAMAM	Amambai	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Amambai River	Main Channel	23°21'52.30"S/53°52'47.90"W
12	TAMAM	Amambai	Amambai River	Tributary	23°20'19.90"S/53°51'24.40"W
13	MMARA	Maracaí	Paraná River upstream of the mouth Maracaí River	Main Channel	23°26'8.29"S/53°58'0.29" W
14	TMARA	Maracaí	Maracaí River	Tributary	23°25'32.03"S/53°58'13.82"W
15	MPARA	Paracai	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Paracai River	Main Channel	23°38'51.40"S/53°56'44.30"W
16	TPARA	Paracai	Paracaí River	Tributary	23°38'59.50"S/53°56'41.30"W
17	MPIRA	Pirajuí	Paraná River upstream of the mouth Pirajuí River	Main Channel	23°40'18.24"S/54°3'46.97" W
18	TPIRA	Pirajuí	Pirajuí River	Tributary	23°40'9.62"S/54°3'48.80" W
19	LSAJO	São João	São João Lagoon	Lagoon	23°49'01.98"S/53°59'32.62"W
20	MIGUA	Iguatemi	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Iguatemi River	Main Channel	23°55'27.90"S/54°9'16.60" W
21	TIGUA	Iguatemi	Iguatemi River	Tributary	23°55'37.60"S/54°11'22.00"W
22	MSARA	Saraiva	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Saraiva Lagoon	Main Channel	24°0'57.90"S/54°10'37.00" W
23	LSARA	Saraiva	Saraiva Lagoon	Lagoon	24°1'6.40"S/54°10'10.50"W
24	MPIQU	Piquiri	Paraná River upstream of the mouth of the Piquiri River	Main Channel	24°1'24.30"S/54°5'33.20"W
25	TPIQU	Piquiri	Piquiri River	Tributary	24°1'52.20"S/54°4'38.30"W

Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia, 2017, vol. 29, e106

of organisms caught by the number of samples obtained. Later, the frequency of occurrence of each taxon was also calculated.

To evaluate the distribution of eggs and larvae in the different biotopes, an Analysis of variance (ANOVA One-way) with factor (biotope) and levels (lagoon, main channel, tributary) was used. The months of sampling were considered as replicates, total of 196 samples. When finding a significant F value, that is, when the means were significantly different in ANOVA, Tukey's post-hoc test was applied in order to detect these differences. Data were previously transformed into log10 (x + 1) to meet the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance (Peters, 1986).

To summarize the composition and structure of fish larvae, a Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) was applied using the Vegan package in R (Oksanen et al., 2012). The NMS was based on a Bray-Curtis distance matrix, calculated from the density data of the taxonomic groups found. Scores of NMS axis 1 were analyzed by one-way ANOVA in order to determine possible significant spatial variations in the composition and structure of fish larvae between biotopes and sampling stations. The assumptions of normality (Shapiro-Wilk) and homoscedasticity (Levene test) were previously checked. When ANOVA was significant, the Tukey's test was applied to determine which groups were significantly different from each other. The NMS was computed using the R software (R Core Team, 2015) and ANOVA in the Statistica<sup>TM</sup> 7.0 software (StatSoft Inc., 2005). The level of statistical significance adopted was p <0.05.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Spatial distribution

During the eight months of sampling, 592 eggs and 6,618 larvae were caught. The highest mean density of eggs was found in the tributary biotope, with 1.68 eggs/10m<sup>3</sup> and the lowest, in the main channel of the river, with 0.26 eggs/10m<sup>3</sup>, although the ANOVA revealed no significant differences in egg abundance between the different biotopes (DF = 2, F = 0.78, p = 0.46) (Figure 1A). For the larvae, ANOVA evidenced significant differences between the biotopes (DF = 2, F = 4.75, p = 0.001), mainly between the lagoon, with a mean of 65.76 larvae/10m<sup>3</sup> and tributaries, with 3.96 larvae/10m<sup>3</sup> (Tukey's test, p <0.01, respectively) (Figure 1B).

With respect to sampling stations, higher mean values of egg density were recorded in the Paracaí River (TPARA), with 11.45 eggs/10m<sup>3</sup>, followed by the Amambai River (TAMAM), with 6.65 eggs/10m<sup>3</sup>, both located in the tributary biotope (Figure 2). In the lagoon biotope, the LSARA site had the highest mean density, 1.15 eggs 10m<sup>3</sup>, while in the main channel of the river, the site MAMAM station showed a mean density of 0.91 eggs/10m<sup>3</sup> (Figure 2). There were no records of eggs in MPARN, MBAIA, MPIRA and MSARA in the main channel biotope, as well as in TPIQU, in the tributary biotope (Figure 2).

Larvae were recorded in all the sites sampled, but the highest mean density was verified in LSARA, with 113.79 larvae/10m<sup>3</sup> (lagoon), followed by MMARA, with 43.42 larvae/10m<sup>3</sup> (main channel) and TIVIN and TAMAM, with



**Figure 1.** Density of eggs (A) and larvae (B) of fish observed in different biotopes of the Upper Paraná River floodplain between August 2013 and May 2015 (squares = mean; bars = standard error; values with different letters are significantly different).

12.52 and 11.99 larvae/10m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, both located in the tributary biotope (Figure 2).

#### 3.2. Taxonomic composition

Throughout the samplings, we registered individuals belonging to six orders, 18 families and 29 genera and/or species. The order Characiformes presented the largest number of taxonomic groups (23 groups), followed by Siluriformes, with 11 groups (Table 2). In relation to the number of larvae in each order, more than 76% of the larvae were classified as Characiformes, 14% as Siluriformes, and the remainder divided into other orders (5%) and those that could not be identified (5%) (Table 2).

Regarding the reproductive guild, most of the groups identified belong to non-migratory (72%), divided among those with no parental



**Figure 2.** Spatial distribution of fish eggs and larvae (mean density) in different environments of the Upper Paraná River floodplain, between August 2013 and May 2015. 1 = MPARN; 2 = TPARN; 3 = MBAIA; 4 = TBAIA; 5 = MIVIN; 6 = TIVIN; 7 = MIVIH; 8 = TIVIH; 9 = MIVAI; 10 = TIVAI; 11 = MAMAM; 12 = TAMAM; 13 = MMARA; 14 = TMARA; 15 = MPARA; 16 = TPARA; 17 = MPIRA; 18 = TPIRA; 19 = LSAJO; 20 = MIGUA; 21 = TIGUA; 22 = MSARA; 23 = LSARA; 24 = MPIQU; 25 = TPIQU. Name of codes see Table 1.

Table 2. Taxonomic composition of fish larvae caught in different environments of the Upper Paraná River floodplain
between August 2013 and May 2015, with the respective number caught (NC), frequency of occurrence (FO), mean
density (M), and original reproductive guild (RG).

Class Osteichthyes         ORDER CLUPEIFORMES           Family Clupeidae         Platanichthys platana (Regan, 1917)         141         2.13         1.28         NMFESC <sup>1+</sup> ORDER CHARACIFORMES*         1         0.02         0.26         Family Parodontidae           Apareiodon spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC <sup>2+</sup> Family Curimatidae***         1         0.02         0.84         Prochilodotificae**         0.18         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44         Family Anostomidae*         Reference         Referenc         Reference         Referenc	Таха	NC	FO	М	RG
ORDER CLUPEIFORMES           Family Clupeidae           Platanichthys platana (Regan, 1917)         141         2.13         1.28         NMFESC <sup>1+</sup> ORDER CHARACIPORMES*         1         0.02         0.26           Family Pardontidae	Class Osteichthyes				
Family Clupeidae         NMFESC*           Platanichthys platana (Regan, 1917)         141         2.13         1.28         NMFESC*           ORDER CHARACIFORMES*         1         0.02         0.26           Family Parodontidae         -         -         -           Apareiodon spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC*           Prochilodontidae         -         -         -         -         -           Prochilodous lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML*           Family Contractious         -         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC*           Family Hemiodontidae         - </td <td>ORDER CLUPEIFORMES</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ORDER CLUPEIFORMES				
Platanichthys platana (Regan, 1917)         141         2.13         1.28         NMFESC*           ORDER CHARACIFORMES*         1         0.02         0.26           Family Parodontidae         7         1         0.02         0.16           Apareiodon spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC*           Family Parodontidae         1         0.02         0.16         NMFESC*           Prachidous lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML*           Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44         Prachidous lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         5.32         5.12         ML*           Family Characidae*         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC*         Diapoma guarani Mannet & Gely, 1903         352         5.32         5.12         ML*           Family Characidae**         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC*         Diapoma guarani Mannet & Gely, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC*           Diapoma guarani Mannet & Gely, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC*           Moenkhausia forsetii Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC* <t< td=""><td>Family Clupeidae</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Family Clupeidae				
ORDER CHARACIFORMES*         1         0.02         0.26           Family Paradiodon spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Curimatidae**         1         0.02         0.16           Prachilodus lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44           Family Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Characidae**         502         5.32         5.12         ML**           Family Characidae**         502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapona guarari Mahnet & Gey, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapona guarari Mahnet & Gey, 1987         27         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Carsto & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         <	Platanichthys platana (Regan, 1917)	141	2.13	1.28	NMFESC <sup>1+</sup>
Family Parodontidae           Apareidodn spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Curimatidae <sup>**</sup> 1         0.02         0.16           Family Prochilodous lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Anostomidae <sup>**</sup> 1722         26.02         8.44         Family Anostomidae <sup>**</sup> 1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Characidlum spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Astryanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Astryanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Gehr, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Nariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Nariguela & Soliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.03         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, 1867)         52         0.79         0.73	ORDER CHARACIFORMES*	1	0.02	0.26	
Apareiodon spp.         54         0.82         2.75         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Curimatidae**         1         0.02         0.16           Prochilodous lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44           Family Connuchidae         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodontidae         -         -         -         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodontidae         -         -         -         -           Hemiodus orthonops Eigenmann, 1908         1964         26.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus strainieus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         26.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Gery, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Scrasalminae	Family Parodontidae				
Family Curimatidae**         1         0.02         0.16           Family Prochilodontidae         Prochilodontidae         ML²           Prochilodus lineatus (valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML²           Family Crenuchidae         1722         26.02         8.44           Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC²           Family Characidae**         502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC²           Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.94         NMFESC²           Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         0.02         0.29         NMFESC²           Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC²           Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC²           Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC²           Sub-Family Bryconinae         Bryconinae         Bryconinae         Bryconinae         Meresc²         0.73         ML² </td <td>Apareiodon spp.</td> <td>54</td> <td>0.82</td> <td>2.75</td> <td>NMFESC<sup>2</sup></td>	Apareiodon spp.	54	0.82	2.75	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Family Prochilodus lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML <sup>2</sup> Prachilodus lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.8         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Cronuchidae         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodonotidae         502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.23         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         1         1.002         0.21         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52	Family Curimatidae**	1	0.02	0.16	
Prochilodus lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)         52         0.79         1.18         ML²           Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44           Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC²           Family Chranchidae	Family Prochilodontidae				
Family Anostomidae**         1722         26.02         8.44           Family Crenuchidae         I         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodontidae         502         7.59         9.72         ML*           Family Characidae**         502         7.59         9.72         ML*           Astyanak lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnet & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestrii Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestrii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestrii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         3         0.65         0.71         NMES         ML <sup>2</sup> Brycon orbigryanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73	Prochilodus lineatus (Valenciennes, 1836)	52	0.79	1.18	ML <sup>2</sup>
Family Crenuchidae         Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodontidae	Family Anostomidae**	1722	26.02	8.44	
Characidium spp.         1         0.02         0.34         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Hemiodontidae         Hemiodons orthonops Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903         352         5.32         5.12         ML <sup>4+</sup> Family Characidae <sup>*+</sup> 502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia oriz gradiima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia oriz gradiima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbiginyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae             MMFESC <sup>2</sup> Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML <sup>2</sup>	Family Crenuchidae				
Family Hemiodonidae           Hemiodus orthonops Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903         352         5.32         5.12         ML <sup>4+</sup> Family Characidae**         502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia orinae         6         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> MMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae         -         -         -         -           Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         -         -         -         -         -           Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Cynodontidae         -	Characidium spp.	1	0.02	0.34	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Hemiodus orthonops Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903         352         5.32         5.12         ML**           Family Characidae**         502         7.59         9.72           Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.44         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forstil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forstil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forstil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forstil Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         2.23         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Chratacinae         Rababiodon vulpinus Spit & Agassiz, 1829         16         0.24	Family Hemiodontidae				
Family Characidae**       502       7.59       9.72         Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)       2       0.03       0.27       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908       1964       29.68       8.94       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987       27       0.41       1.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 182)       1       0.02       0.29       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009       1       0.02       0.20       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009       1       0.02       0.20       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009       1       0.02       0.20       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009       1       0.02       0.20       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae       Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)       52       0.79       0.73       ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae       73       1.10       1.38       ML <sup>2</sup> Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.00       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae       Roeboldes descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1	Hemiodus orthonops Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903	352	5.32	5.12	ML <sup>4+</sup>
Astyanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)         2         0.03         0.27         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia tof, gracilima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbiginyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae         Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829         16         0.24         0.62         NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Genzel Lagendo avel Lagendo	Family Characidae**	502	7.59	9.72	
Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908         1964         29.68         8.94         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia of. gracilima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia of. gracilima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae	Astvanax lacustris (Lucena & Soares, 2016)	2	0.03	0.27	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987         27         0.41         1.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia honita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia of. gracilima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Chyndontidae         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> GRDER SILURIFORMES*         66         1.00<	Bryconamericus stramineus Eigenmann, 1908	1964	29.68	8.94	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)         1         0.02         0.29         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Stronorize         1         0.02         0.79         2.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Sproninae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae         Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829         16         0.24         0.62         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> </td <td>Diapoma guarani Mahnert &amp; Géry, 1987</td> <td>27</td> <td>0.41</td> <td>1.42</td> <td>NMFESC<sup>2</sup></td>	Diapoma guarani Mahnert & Géry, 1987	27	0.41	1.42	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004         2         0.03         1.12         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia of: gracilima Eigenmann, 1908         154         2.33         41.18         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)         52         0.79         2.23         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae         Plaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae         Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829         16         0.24         0.50         ML <sup>2</sup> Family Cetopsidae         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858         6         0.09         0.33         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pamily Detapteridae**         199         3.01         1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947         36         0.54         4.32         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)	Hyphessobycon eques (Steindachner, 1882)	1	0.02	0.29	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariguela & Oliveira, 2009         1         0.02         0.20         NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)         52         0.79         2.23         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyarus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae	Moenkhausia bonita Benine, Castro & Sabino, 2004	2	0.03	1 12	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Moenkhausis of. gracilina Eigenmann, 1908       154       2.33       41.18       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)       52       0.79       2.23       ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Bryconinae       Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)       52       0.79       0.73       ML <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Serrasalminae       Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.10       1.38       ML <sup>2</sup> Serrasalmus spp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae       Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae       Rhaphidolon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23       MMFESC <sup>2</sup> Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> GRDER SILURFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       Family Cetopsidae         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11	Moenkhausia forestii Benine, Mariquela & Oliveira, 2009	1	0.02	0.20	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)         52         0.79         2.23         ML²           Sub-Family Bryconinae         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)         52         0.79         0.73         ML²           Sub-Family Serrasalminae         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)         73         1.10         1.38         ML²           Sub-Family Serrasalmus spp.         3         0.05         0.21         NMFECP²           Sub-Family Characinae         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932         1         0.02         0.42         NMFESC²           Family Cynodontidae         Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829         16         0.24         0.50         ML²           Family Erythrinidae**         1         0.02         0.23         MPFECP²           ORDER SILURIFORMES*         66         1.00         0.92         Family Cetopsidae           Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858         6         0.09         0.33         NMFESC³           Family Pimelodidae**         199         3.01         1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947         36         0.54         4.32         NMFESC³           Family Pimelodidae**         322         4.87         7.02         Family Doradidae**         322         4.87 <t< td=""><td>Moenkhausia cf. gracilima Figenmann, 1908</td><td>154</td><td>2 33</td><td>41 18</td><td>NMFESC<sup>2</sup></td></t<>	Moenkhausia cf. gracilima Figenmann, 1908	154	2 33	41 18	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Sub-Family Bryconinae       61.0       61.0       61.0       11.0         Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)       52       0.79       0.73       ML2         Sub-Family Serrasalminae       1.10       1.38       ML2         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.10       1.38       ML2         Serrasalmus spp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP2         Sub-Family Characinae       73       1.00       1.38       ML2         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC2         Family Cynodontidae       7       1       0.02       0.23       MFESC2         Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.62       NMFESC2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       Family Cetopsidae       7         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC3         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC2         Sorubin lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML2         Sorubi	Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)	52	0.79	2 23	MI <sup>2</sup>
Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes, 1850)       52       0.79       0.73       ML2         Sub-Family Serrasalminae           ML2         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.10       1.38       ML2         Serrasalmus spp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP2         Sub-Family Characinae             Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC2         Family Cynodontidae              Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML2         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23          Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92          Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC3         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC2         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63	Sub-Family Bryconinae	-	0.110		
Sub-Family Serrasalminae       NM       NM       NM         Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.10       1.38       ML2         Serrasalmus spp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP2         Sub-Family Characinae       NMFECP2       NMFECP2         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFECP2         Family Cynodontidae       NMFECP2       NMFESC2       NMFESC2         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23       NMFECP2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       NMFECP2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       Pamily Cetopsidae         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC3         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC2         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML2         Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML2         Family Auchenipteridae       322       4.87       7.02 <td< td=""><td>Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes 1850)</td><td>52</td><td>0 79</td><td>0.73</td><td>MI <sup>2</sup></td></td<>	Brycon orbignyanus (Valenciennes 1850)	52	0 79	0.73	MI <sup>2</sup>
Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)       73       1.10       1.38       ML²         Serrasalmus spp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP²         Sub-Family Characinae         NMFECP²         Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC²         Family Cynodontidae          NMFESC²         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC²         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23          Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP²         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92          Family Cetopsidae             Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC³         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC²         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML²         Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML² </td <td>Sub-Eamily Serrasalminae</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.1.0</td> <td>0.1.0</td> <td></td>	Sub-Eamily Serrasalminae	-	0.1.0	0.1.0	
Serrasalmus sp.       3       0.05       0.21       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae        0.02       0.42       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> Sub-Family Characinae        0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae         0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae         0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae       1       0.02       0.23        ML <sup>2</sup> Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23        MFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92        Family Cetopsidae           Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Pipetopteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62 <t< td=""><td>Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)</td><td>73</td><td>1 10</td><td>1.38</td><td>MI <sup>2</sup></td></t<>	Piaractus mesopotamicus (Holmberg, 1887)	73	1 10	1.38	MI <sup>2</sup>
Sub-Family Characinae       0       0.02       0.12       1.01       1.01         Sub-Family Characinae       Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae       Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23       MFESC <sup>2</sup> Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92         Family Cetopsidae       Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Pipelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63       Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Auchenipteridae	Serrasalmus spp	3	0.05	0.21	NMFFCP <sup>2</sup>
Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler, 1932       1       0.02       0.42       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Family Cynodontidae       Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23       MFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       0.09       0.33       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Cetopsidae              Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02       7.02         Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02       7.02	Sub-Family Characinae	Ū.	0.00	0.21	
Family Cynodontidae       1       0.02       0.42       1.42       1.42         Family Cynodontidae       Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML2         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23       0.62       NMFECP2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92       0.92       Family Cetopsidae         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC3         Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC2         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML2         Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML2         Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02       7.02         Family Auchenipteruidae       1       0.02       0.18       Fl2         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl2         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.05       0.33       El2<	Roeboides descalvadensis Fowler 1932	1	0.02	0 42	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829       16       0.24       0.50       ML²         Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23         Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP²         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92         Family Cetopsidae             Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC³         Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC²         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML²         Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML²         Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae        1       0.02       0.18       Fl²         Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl²         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl² </td <td>Family Cynodontidae</td> <td></td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.42</td> <td></td>	Family Cynodontidae		0.02	0.42	
Family Erythrinidae**       1       0.02       0.23         Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP2         ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92         Family Cetopsidae       66       1.00       0.92         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC3         Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC2         Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML2         Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML2         Family Auchenipteridae       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.11       0.62       ML2         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       FI2         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.05       0.33       FI2	Rhanhiodon vulninus Snix & Agassiz 1829	16	0.24	0.50	MI <sup>2</sup>
Hoplias spp.       16       0.02       0.02         Hoplias spp.       16       0.24       0.62       NMFECP <sup>2</sup> ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92         Family Cetopsidae       66       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae	Family Frythrinidae**	1	0.02	0.00	IVIL .
ORDER SILURIFORMES*       66       1.00       0.92         Family Cetopsidae       66       1.00       0.92         Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelvonterus caleatus (Lipnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Honlias spn	16	0.02	0.20	NMFFCP <sup>2</sup>
Family Cetopsidae       60       1.00       0.02         Family Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelvonterus caleatus (Lipnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	ORDER SILLIRIFORMES*	66	1.00	0.92	
Cetopsis gobioides Kner, 1858       6       0.09       0.33       NMFESC <sup>3</sup> Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae	Family Cotonsidae	00	1.00	0.02	
Family Heptapteridae**       144       2.18       2.32         Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       1       0.02       0.18         Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelyopterus galeatus (Lipnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Cetonsis aphinides Kner 1858	6	0.09	0.33	NMFESC <sup>3</sup>
Family Pimelodidae**       199       3.01       1.63         Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelyopterus galeatus (Lipnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       El <sup>2</sup>	Family Hentanteridae**	144	2 18	2 32	
Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947       36       0.54       4.32       NMFESC <sup>2</sup> Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.13       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelyopterus caleatus (Lippaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Family Pimelodidae**	100	3.01	1.63	
Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)       63       0.95       1.79       ML <sup>2</sup> Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       7       0.13       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelvonterus galeatus (Linnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Hypophthalmus oremaculatus Nani & Fuster 1947	36	0.54	4 32	NMEESC <sup>2</sup>
Sorubin lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)       7       0.11       0.62       ML <sup>2</sup> Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       4.87       7.02         Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz 1820)	63	0.95	1 70	MI 2
Family Doradidae**       322       4.87       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl²         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl²         Trachelvonterus galeatus (Linnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl²	Sorubin line (Bloch & Schneider 1801)	7	0.00	0.62	
Family Doradidae       322       4.07       7.02         Family Auchenipteridae       Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl²         Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl²         Trachelvonterus caleatus (Linnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl²	Family Doradidae**	322	4.87	7.02	
Auchenipterus osteomystax (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918)       88       1.33       1.80       Fl <sup>2</sup> Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       Fl <sup>2</sup> Trachelvonterus galeetus (Linnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       Fl <sup>2</sup>	Family Auchoninteridae	022	7.07	1.02	
Tatia neivai (Ihering, 1930)       1       0.02       0.18       FI2         Trachelvonterus caleatus (Linnaeus, 1766)       3       0.05       0.33       El2	Aucheninterus esteemustav (Miranda Diboiro, 1019)	88	1 22	1 20	<b>E</b> 12
Trachelyonterus caleatus (Linnaeus 1766) $3 0.02 0.10 \text{ FF}$	Tatia neivai (Ibering, 1930)	1	0.02	0.18	F12
	Trachelvonterus daleatus (Linnaeus, 1766)	2	0.02	0.10	1 I F12

\*identified only to the order level; \*\*identified only to the family level; NMFESC = non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and no parental care; NMFECP = non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and with parental care; FI = non-migrat or short-distance migratory, with internal fertilization; ML = long-distance migratory with external fertilization. <sup>1</sup>Fialho et al. (2000); <sup>2</sup>Suzuki et al. (2004); <sup>3</sup>Smith et al. (2013); <sup>4</sup>Agostinho et al. (2015); <sup>\*</sup>invasive species.

# Table 2. Continued...

Таха	NC	FO	М	RG
ORDER GYMNOTIFORMES*	1	0.02	0.26	
Family Gymnotidae				
Gymnotus spp.	2	0.03	0.25	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
ORDER PERCIFORMES				
Family Sciaenidae				
Plagioscion squamosissimus (Heckel, 1840)	131	1.98	0.70	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
ORDER PLEURONECTIFORMES				
Family Achiridae				
Catathyridium jenynsii (Günther, 1862)	4	0.06	0.24	NMFESC <sup>2</sup>
Unidentified	18	0.27	0.28	
Unidentifiable	336	5.08	3.36	

\*identified only to the order level; \*\*identified only to the family level; NMFESC = non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and no parental care; NMFECP = non-migratory or short-distance migratory, with external fertilization and with parental care; FI = non-migrat or short-distance migratory, with internal fertilization; ML = long-distance migratory with external fertilization. <sup>1</sup>Fialho et al. (2000); <sup>2</sup>Suzuki et al. (2004); <sup>3</sup>Smith et al. (2013); <sup>4</sup>Agostinho et al. (2015); <sup>\*</sup>invasive species.

care (NMFESC), with 16 groups, those with parental care, (NMFECP) with 2 groups and those with internal fertilization (FI), with 3 groups. Long-distance migratory (ML) represented 28% of the groups identified (Table 2).

In the lagoon biotope, the site LSARA presented the highest number of taxonomic groups, as well as the highest abundances of larvae, mainly *Bryconamericus stramineus* Eigenmann, 1908, Characidae (unidentified) and *Moenkhausia* cf. gracilima Eigenmann, 1908 (Table 3). In this site, we also found larvae of large migratory species, such as *Brycon orbignyanus* (Valenciennes, 1850), *Prochilodus lineatus* (Valenciennes, 1836) and *Piaractus mesopotamicus* (Holmberg, 1887), as well as the invasive species *Hemiodus orthonops* Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903 (Table 3).

In sites located in the main channel biotope, we observed a heterogeneous distribution of larvae. In MPARN and MBAIA, only one and two taxonomic groups were recorded, respectively (Table 3), while in MMARA (18 groups), MIVIN (15 groups) and MAMAM (17 groups), we registered the highest number of groups, mainly at the site MMARA with high abundance of larvae of Anostomidae (unidentified) and also the occurrence of larvae of six (B. orbignyanus, Pseudoplatystoma corruscans (Spix & Agassiz, 1829), P. lineatus, P. mesopotamicus, Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829 and Salminus brasiliensis (Cuvier, 1816)) of the seven species of large migratory (Table 3). High abundance of B. stramineus was also observed in MIGUA, MSARA and MPIQU (Table 3). Brycon orbignyanus was the species that presented the broadest distribution,

occurring in eight sites, while *S. brasiliensis* was recorded in only one site (MMARA). *P. corruscans*, *P. lineatus*, *P. mesopotamicus* and *R.vulpinus* also presented a considerable distribution among the sites, as well as the invasive species *Platanichthys platana* (Regan, 1917) and *H. orthonops* (Table 3).

In the tributary biotope, stood out the sites TAMAM (17 groups), TIVIN (17 groups) and TIVIH (15 groups), with the highest number of taxonomic groups, unlike TBAIA (2) and TPARA (3), where the lowest numbers were verified and TMARA none taxon was identified (Table 3). In relation to abundances, larvae of Doradidae (unidentified), Pimelodidae (unidentified) and Anostomidae (unidentified) were the most abundant in TAMAM, TIVIN and TIVIH sites, respectively (Table 3). These three sites also presented the highest abundances of larvae of migratory species, but some species were also found in TIGUA (P. lineatus), TIVAI (S. brasiliensis) and TPIQUI (B. orbignyanus and P. lineatus) (Table 3). Platanichthys platana and H. orthonops were also recorded in TBAIA, TIVIN and TIVIH (Table 3).

The non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) summarized the composition and structure of larvae of different fish species and indicated no differences between the biotopes (Figure 3A). A one-way ANOVA applied to NMS axis 1 scores corroborated this result (F = 1.81, p > 0.05). For sampling stations, there were significant differences in species composition (ANOVA, F = 2.69, p < 0.001, Figure 3B). The Tukey's post-hoc test indicated the sites that differed from each other (MBAIA and LSAJO, p< 0.05), (MBAIA

MIGUA LSAJO TPIRA		+	‡ +		‡	# #	+		‡ +			+	‡	+				+	‡	‡	‡	ŧ							‡ +	‡ + ‡
MPIRA TPARA	+	‡	+	+	Ŧ			Ŧ											Ŧ	+		+					+	Ŧ	Ŧ	+
MPARA TMARA			+			‡			+					+						+			+						+	‡
MMARA			* * * *		‡	‡		+	‡					‡	+					‡	‡				+	+	‡	‡ ‡	‡	‡
TAMAM		+	‡				+		‡		+			‡		+	+				‡	‡				+	‡	+		
MAMAM		+	‡		+	+			+					+			+				+					‡		+	+	‡
TIVAI		ŧ	‡			‡		+	+																					+
MIVAI		+	‡ ‡		+	+		+	+										‡							+			‡	‡
ΤΙVΙΗ	+		‡ ‡		‡	‡			+				+						+	‡						‡	+	‡	+	‡
MIVIH			‡ ‡		‡									‡							+						+	+	‡	+
TIVIN		‡	‡ ‡		‡	‡			‡					‡						+	+					‡ ‡	‡	‡	+	+
MIVIN	+		‡		+	+	+		+											‡	‡						‡		+	+
TBAIA																													‡	+
MBAIA																						+								
TPARN		+	+																‡											‡
MPARN																														+
Taxonomic group	A. lacustris	A. osteomystax	Anostomidae	Apareiodon spp.	*B. orbignyanus	B. stramineus	C. gobioides	C. jenynsii	Characidae	Characidium spp.	Characiformes	Curimatidae	D. guarani	Doradidae	Erythrinidae	Gymnotiformes	Gymnotus spp.	H. eques	H. oremaculatus	H. orthonops	Heptapteridae	Hoplias spp.	M. cf. gracilima	M. bonita	M. forestii	*P. corruscans	*P. lineatus	*P. mesopotamicus	P. platana	P. squamosissimus

TPIQU	+						+			‡		6	
MPIQU										<b>‡</b>	+	12	
										+		6	
LSARA						+		+		‡		16	
MSARA	+									‡		6	n3.
TIGUA	+									‡	+	ω	ae/10r
MIGUA	‡		+				+			‡	+	<del>1</del> 4	1 larva
LSAJO												7	100.0
TPIRA										+		4	r than
MPIRA		+				+						4	Highe
TPARA										+	‡	<del></del>	+ + + +
MPARA	+	+								+	+	10	10m <sup>3</sup> ;
TMARA											+	0	arvae/
MMARA			+	+			+			‡		18	- 100
ТАМАМ	t			+	t		t			t	+	17	10.01
	+				Ŧ		+					ю ,	+ + +
MAMAM	÷		+				÷			+	+	ŧ	10m <sup>3</sup> ;
TIVAI	‡			‡			+			‡		6	rvae/ ]
MIVAI	+					+				‡	+	12	.00 la
тілін	+						‡			‡		15	01-10
МІVІН	‡						+			‡	+	10	+ + 1.
TIVIN	‡		‡	‡	+		‡			‡	+	17	/10m <sup>3</sup> ;
MIVIN	‡		+				+	+		+	+	15	larvae
TBAIA												2	- 1.00
MBAIA						+				+		с	0.01 -
TPARN	+								+			9	cies; +
MPARN												<del></del>	ory spe
axonomic group	melodidae	descavaldensis	R. vulpinus	3. brasiliensis	S. lima	ərrasalmus spp.	luriformes	galeatus	neivai	nidentifiable	nidentified	umber of taxa entified	ong-distance migrat(
F	Ē	С.	*	š Š	s *	Š	Sil	Ŀ.	Ŀ.	ŗ	Ŀ	NL id∉	*]

Table 3. Continued...



**Figure 3.** Mean variation of NMS axis 1 scores (two-dimensional solution, stress: 0.14) for spatial analysis: biotopes (A) and sampling sites (B) in relation to the structure and composition of fish larvae sampled between August and May 2015 in the Upper Paraná River floodplain (squares = mean; bars = standard error).

and TPARN, p < 0.001) and (TPARA and TPARN, p < 0.05).

# 4. Discussion

Floodplains are characterized by the presence of different biotopes (e.g. main channel, tributaries and lagoon) that are used by species with different ecological requirements during their life cycle (Paiva, 1982; Junk et al., 1989). In particular, in the Upper Paraná River floodplain, although we have adopted a design with few samplings and only one equipment, the capture of eggs and larvae was considerable (592 eggs and 6,618 larvae) when compared, for example, to the study by Gogola et al. (2010) developed exclusively during the reproductive period (October to March), in tributaries and lagoon of the Ilha Grande National Park, in which the authors found 8,029 eggs and 25,190 larvae. This result indicates that despite the fact that it is under several human impacts, the only dam-free stretch in the Upper Paraná River can still be considered an important breeding site for fish species, corroborating the results found by Baumgartner et al. (2004), Bialetzki et al. (2005), Gogola et al. (2010, 2013), Reynalte-Tataje et al. (2011, 2013), Barzotto et al. (2015) and Silva et al. (2016), which sampled some of the stations here evaluated, with most of the samples performed during the reproductive period.

Fish spawning habitats, in general, are the large tributaries of the Paraná River (Agostinho et al., 2003). Our results partially confirm this pattern, since no significant differences were detected between the biotopes and in occurrence of eggs, although the greatest abundances were found in the tributaries. Among the sampled sites, the main routes used for spawning were the Amambai and Paracaí rivers, both located within the Ilha Grande National Park, on the right and left banks of the main channel of Paraná River, respectively. These tributaries, in addition to maintaining the reproduction events, have still contributed with the input of larvae to the downstream stretches. Numerous studies carried out in this basin (Baumgartner et al., 2004; Daga et al., 2009; Gogola et al., 2010; Reynalte-Tataje et al., 2011, 2013; Da Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016) and in the basins of the rivers Uruguay (Reynalte-Tataje et al., 2008, 2012a, b; Hermes-Silva et al., 2009; Corrêa et al., 2011) and São Francisco (Sato et al., 2005; Weber et al., 2013; Normando et al., 2014; Nunes et al., 2015), as well as in other parts of the world (Bottcher et al., 2013; Webber et al., 2013; López-Casas et al., 2016), have argued for the importance of free-flowing tributaries upstream of regulated rivers as alternative migratory routes. Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize the need for an adequate distance between the spawning site and the complete early embryonic and larval development, preventing the drift of larvae to inappropriate places, such as reservoirs, where they can be preyed upon or settle to the substrate (Pelicice & Agostinho, 2008; Olden, 2016). In the case of dorado (S. brasiliensis), for example, Rosa et al. (No prelo) estimated with based on the Ivinheima river flow rate and the time (hours) between stages of development, the distance traveled between the hatching and the post-flexion stage, when the larvae already have the caudal, anal and dorsal fins partially or fully developed and can perform swimming movements, was just over 100 kilometers.

The marginal lagoons of river-floodplain systems are widely recognized for the importance in the maintenance and integrity of regional biodiversity, either as natural breeding grounds for commercially important species, most of which are long-distance migratory (Welcomme, 1979, 1985; Agostinho et al., 1993, 2000), or as a preferential habitat for sedentary and small species. In the lagoon biotope, larvae are highly abundant in the Saraiva Lagoon, which is permanently connected to the Paraná River. This lagoon presented sixteen taxa among the thirty-nine found in the study, among the non-migratory species stand out B. stramineus and M. cf. gracilima and among the migratory species, B. orbygnianus, P. lineatus and P. mesopotamicus. A study carried out in the same lagoon by Daga et al. (2009) found twenty-five taxa, including the migratory species S. brasiliensis, P. corruscans, Pterodoras granulosus (Valenciennes, 1821) and Pimelodus spp. For Petry et al. (2003), fish species diversity and the size of stocks of migratory species depend largely on the conservation and integrity of marginal ponds.

The sites located in the main channel of the Paraná River also stood out in relation to larval abundance and the number of taxonomic groups, including important migratory species (B. orbignyanus, P. corruscans, P. lineatus, P. mesopotamicus, R. vulpinus e S. brasiliensis). This result may be due to larval drift, in which species would use the main channel of the river as a transport corridor between spawning grounds and growing sites. In this case, eggs and larvae could be transported to (i) low flow areas and macrophytes, (ii) marginal lagoons or (iii) reach the Itaipu Reservoir, to complete their development as suggested by Baumgartner et al. (2004). The larval drift could occur either in the middle of the channel or near the banks, taking advantage of the decrease in current velocity or taking advantage of the proximity to floodplain lagoons (Araujo-Lima & Oliveira, 1998).

The main channel of the Paraná River may also be used for the spawning and growth of some species. Galat & Zweimüller (2001) state that fluvial specialist species can complete their entire life cycle in this biotope, using areas near the banks as growing sites. Nannini et al. (2012) suggests that larval fish show habitat specialization, similar to adults, indicating that both the backwater lakes and the main channel are important for larval fish and preserving the diversity of fish assemblages in large floodplain rivers. However, the use of these areas by the larvae still needs to be better investigated, with the aid of complex analysis of development stages and complete habitat structure.

In general, we did not find an expected longitudinal pattern of distribution of eggs and larvae, that is, where eggs would be more abundant in upstream reaches and larvae in downstream areas. On the contrary, our results show that the eggs were caught in several sampling stations, indicating that the spawning may be occurring within the tributaries and the eggs drifting to the Paraná River channel, but may also be occurring in the Paraná River itself, as already discussed above. Another interesting result is the low abundance and also the reduced number of taxa found in MPARN, TPARN, MBAIA and TBAIA sites, located near the HPPs of Porto Primavera (Paraná River) and Rosana (Paranapanema River). This may be the direct result of the oligotrophication that the Paraná River has been suffering over the past twenty years, caused by reservoir cascades in the basin and aggravated by the formation of Porto Primavera Reservoir (Roberto et al., 2009). Turbid waters are essential for the survival of fish eggs and larvae as they decrease visual predation (Agostinho et al., 2002). In the Uruguay River basin, Reynalte-Tataje et al. (2012a) reported similar results and suggested that low densities downstream of dams indicate low reproductive activity influenced by water quality and flow control, with an abundance improvement only one hundred and thirty kilometers downstream of the dam. In the Upper Parana River, this effect also seems to be minimized near the Ivinheima River, about ninety kilometers downstream of Porto Primavera, where the abundances were comparatively greater, confirming the importance of this river for this stretch of the basin.

In almost all sites downstream of the Ivinheima River, there were considerable catches of eggs or larvae or both. These results can support the Serial Discontinuity Theory (SDC) (Ward & Stanford, 1995), which assumes that smaller rivers, as well as floodplain, represent lateral interference in rivers, contributing with the input of organic and inorganic particulate matter, restoring the natural attributes that were degraded with flow regulation by upstream reservoirs (Stanford & Ward, 2001). The theory further argues that the river, according to lateral, vertical and longitudinal gradients, presents an innate tendency to recovery, including the aquatic communities, that is, the river channel downstream of Porto Primavera, as well as environments adjacent to this remnant are capable of offering biotic and abiotic conditions for reproduction of the regional fish fauna.

As for the taxonomic categories, it was not possible to identify the eggs collected, due to the lack of information on the morphology of these eggs in the literature. Among the larvae, there were a significant number of taxonomic groups identified between genera and species, which accounted for approximately 16% of the total number of species recorded by Graça & Pavanelli (2007) for the region. The predominance of Characiformes and Siluriformes larvae reflects the species richness of these two orders in the region. According to Langeani et al. (2007), these two orders account for about 80% of the species and comprise the dominant groups in most of the lotic environments of the Upper Paraná River.

Most of the larvae recorded are of non-migratory or short-distance migratory species with external fecundation and with no parental care. Suzuki et al. (2004) reported that more than 83% of the species in the region are sedentary or migrate short distances to reproduce, while other species (little more than 16% or nineteen species) perform reproductive migrations. In this study, larvae of seven migratory species were found, B. orbignyanus P. corruscans, P. lineatus, P. mesopotamicus, R. vulpinus, S. brasiliensis and S. lima, evidencing the key role of this remnant in the maintenance of these fish with specific requirements for reproduction. However, the low abundance verified may be a direct consequence of the changes in the environment and/or the strong pressure on fish stocks in the region (Sanches et al., 2006). According to the Red Book of the Threatened Fauna in the State of Paraná (Livro Vermelho da Fauna Ameaçada no Estado do Paraná) (Abilhoa & Duboc, 2004), migratory species such as P. corruscans and S. brasiliensis are already very rare throughout the Paraná River basin, being found in small size and number, while B. orbignyanus was classified as "endangered" in the Ordinance 445 of December 17, 2014 by the Ministry of the Environment (Brasil, 2014), decree 445. It is also important to consider in this result the reduced number of samplings made in the reproductive period of the species.

The presence of larvae of invasive species such as *H. orthonops* and *P. platana* was a worrying result. According to Agostinho et al. (2015), *H. orthonops*, a migratory species from the Paraguay and Paraná rivers basin, invaded the Upper Paraná River in 2002, through the "Piracema Canal (ITAIPU)" transposition mechanism, and is currently established. In turn, *P. platana*, whose origin is still unknown, is probably already established as well (Langeani et al., 2007). Meanwhile, its invading potential can be measured by its high dispersal potential, since it was

found in all sampled biotopes (lagoons, main channel and tributary) and, practically, in the whole plain, as well as its opportunism in relation reproduction and characteristics of the environment, which probably favored its establishment.

The results found in this study reinforce the importance of the integrity of this remnant and its biotopes (main channel, tributaries and marginal lagoons) for the maintenance of the fish fauna, mainly of migratory species and those with importance for regional fishing. However, the existence of three conservation units in the floodplain does not rule out the risk of new hydroelectric projects being built in this remnant, especially in tributaries that still maintain intact their original characteristics. The Piquiri and Ivaí rivers would be the most affected in the short term (EPE, 2013; Affonso et al., 2015), in the case of new constructions (HPPs and Small Hydropower Plants). In this sense, Affonso et al. (2015) demonstrated the importance of join local communities, law experts and universities in the search for joint actions to impede the construction of new dams in the basin. Nonetheless, broader actions could also involve the state sphere, for example the State of Minas Gerais, which created, through Law 15082 of April 27, 2004, a type of conservation unit not provided for in the National System of Conservation Units, called "Permanent Preservation Rivers", constituting an important initiative for the conservation of fish in their respective basins (Pompeu, 2012) and also of sites already impacted and vulnerable to new interventions, such as the Paraná River basin.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura (Nupélia), the Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia de Ambientes Aquáticos Continentais (PEA) and ICMBio Parque Nacional de Ilha Grande for the logistic support during fieldwork; CNPq (Process number 478629/2012-5) and Usaçucar for making the Project possible; and our friends from Ichthyoplankton Laboratory (Nupélia/ UEM) for assistance with the fieldwork (especially Valmir Alves Teixeira, Valdir Capatti and Francisco Alves Teixeira) and laboratory analyses.

# References

ABILHOA, V. and DUBOC, L.F. Peixes. In: B. MIKICHS and R.S. BÉRNILS eds. *Livro vermelho da fauna ameaçada no Estado do Paraná*. Curitiba: Instituto Ambiental do Paraná, 2004, pp. 581-682.

- AFFONSO, I.P., AZEVEDO, R.F., SANTOS, N.L.C., DIAS, R.M., AGOSTINHO, A.A. and GOMES, L.C. Pulling the plug: strategies to preclude expansion of dams in Brazilian rivers with highpriority for conservation. *Natureza & Conservação*, 2015, 13(2), 199-203. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. ncon.2015.11.008.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., GOMES, L.C., FERNANDEZ, D.R. and SUZUKI, H.I. Efficiency of fish ladders for neotropical ichthyofauna. *River Research and Applications*, 2002, 18(3), 299-306. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rra.674.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., GOMES, L.C. and PELICICE, F.M. *Ecologia e manejo dos recursos pesqueiros em reservatórios do Brasil.* Maringá: EDUEM, 2007, 501 p.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., GOMES, L.C., BONECKER, C.B. and THOMAZ, S.M. Padrões de variação de longo prazo na planície de inundação do alto rio Paraná. In: M. TABARELLI, C.F.D.D. ROCHA, H.P. ROMANOWSKI, O. ROCHA and L.D.D. LACERDA, eds. PELD-CNPq: dez anos do Programa de Pesquisas Ecológicas de Longa Duração no Brasil - achados, lições e perspectivas. Recife: Editora Universitária da UFPE, 2013, pp. 163-194.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., GOMES, L.C., SUZUKI, H.I. and JÚLIO JUNIOR, H.F. Migratory fishes of the upper Paraná. In: J. CAROLSFELD, B. HARVEY, C. ROSS and A. BAER, eds. *Migratory fishes of South America: biology, fisheries and conservation status.* Victoria: World Fisheries Trust, the World Bank and the International Development Research Centre, 2003, pp. 19-98.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., MENDES, V.P., SUZUKI, H.I. and CANZI, C. Avaliação da atividade reprodutiva da assembléia de peixes dos primeiros quilômetros a jusante do Reservatório de Itaipu. *Revista UNIMAR*, 1993, 15, 175-189.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., PELICICE, F.M. and GOMES, L.C. Dams and the fish fauna of the Neotropical region: impacts and management related to diversity and fisheries. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, 2008, 68(4), 1119-1132, Supplement. PMid:19197482. http://dx.doi. org/10.1590/S1519-69842008000500019.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., SUZUKI, H.I., FUGI, R., ALVES, D.C., TONELLA, L.H. and ESPINDOLA, L.A. Ecological and life history traits of *Hemiodus orthonops* in the invasion process: looking for clues at home. *Hydrobiologia*, 2015, 746(1), 415-430. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2030-2.
- AGOSTINHO, A.A., THOMAZ, S.M. and GOMES, L.C. Conservation of the biodiversity of Brazil's inland waters. *Conservation Biology*, 2005, 9(3), 646-652. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00701.x.

- AGOSTINHO, A.A., THOMAZ, S.M., MINTE-VERA, C.V. and WINEMILLER, K.O. Biodiversity in the high Paraná River floodplain. *Biodiversity in Wetlands: Assessment, Function and Conservation*, 2000, 1, 89-118.
- AHLSTROM, E.H. and MOSER, H.G. Eggs and larvae of fishes and their role in systematic investigations and in fisheries. *Revue des Travaux de l'Institut des Pêches Maritimes*, 1976, 40(3), 379-398.
- ARAUJO-LIMA, C.A.R.M. and OLIVEIRA, E.C. Transport of larval fish in the Amazon. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 1998, 53(sA), 297-306. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1998.tb01033.x.
- BARZOTTO, E., SANCHES, P.V., BIALETZKI, A., ORVATI, L. and GOMES, L.C. Larvae of migratory fish (Teleostei: Ostariophysi) in the lotic remnant of the Paraná River in Brazil. *Zoologia*, 2015, 32(4), 270-280. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S1984-46702015000400002.
- BAUMGARTNER, G., NAKATANI, K., GOMES, L.C., BIALETZKI, A. and SANCHES, P.V. Identification of spawning sites and natural nurseries of fishes in the upper Paraná River, Brazil. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 2004, 71(2), 115-125. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-004-0098-z.
- BIALETZKI, A., NAKATANI, K., SANCHES, P.V., BAUMGARTNER, G. and GOMES, L.C. Larval fish assemblage in the Baía River (Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil): temporal and spatial patterns. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 2005, 73(1), 37-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-004-3795-3.
- BOTTCHER, J.L., WALSWORTH, T.E., THIEDE, G.P., BUDY, P. and SPEAS, D.W. Frequent usage of tributaries by the endangered fishes of the upper Colorado River Basin: observations from the San Rafael River, Utah. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 2013, 33(3), 585-594. http://dx.doi.or g/10.1080/02755947.2013.785993.
- BRASIL. Portaria nº 445, de 17 de dezembro de 2014. Diário Oficial da União [da] República Federativa do Brasil, Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília, DF, 17 dez. 2014, Seção 1, nº 245, pp. 126-130.
- BRITSKI, H.A., SILIMON, K.Z.S. and LOPES, B.S. *Peixes do Pantanal: manual de identificação*. Brasília: EMBRAPA, 2007, 230 p.
- BUNN, S.E. and ARTHINGTON, A.H. Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. *Environmental Management*, 2002, 30(4), 492-507. PMid:12481916. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0.
- CORRÊA, R.N., HERMES-SILVA, S., REYNALTE-TATAJE, D. and ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. Distribution and abundance of fish eggs and larvae in three tributaries of the Upper Uruguay River (Brazil). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 2011, 91(1), 51-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10641-010-9759-x.

- DAGA, V.S., GOGOLA, T.M., SANCHES, P.V., BAUMGARTNER, G., BAUMGARTNER, D., PIANA, P.A., GUBIANI, É.A. and DELARIVA, R.L. Fish larvae assemblages in two floodplain lakes with different degrees of connection to the Paraná River, Brazil. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2009, 7(3), 429-438. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252009000300010.
- DUDGEON, D. Large-scale hydrological changes in tropical Asia: prospects for riverine biodiversity. *Bioscience*, 2000, 50(9), 793-806. http://dx.doi. org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0793:LSHCI T]2.0.CO;2.
- DUDLEY, R.K. and PLATANIA, S.P. Flow regulation and fragmentation imperil pelagic-spawning riverine fishes. *Ecological Applications*, 2007, 17(7), 2074-2086. PMid:17974342. http://dx.doi. org/10.1890/06-1252.1.
- DYNESIUS, M. and NILSSON, C. Fragmentation and flow regulation of river systems in the Northern third of the world. *Science*, 1994, 266(5186), 753-762. PMid:17730396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.266.5186.753.
- EMPRESA DE PESQUISA ENERGÉTICA EPE. Balanço energético nacional 2013: ano base 2012. Rio de Janeiro: EPE, 2013 [viewed 29 Nov. 2016], 284 p. Available from: https://ben.epe.gov.br/downloads/ Relatorio\_Final\_BEN\_2013.pdf
- FIALHO, C.B., NUNES, D.M. and HARTZ, S.M. Biologia reprodutiva de *Platanichthys platana* (Regan, 1917) da Lagoa das Custódias, Tramandaí, RS, Brasil (Clupeiformes, Clupeidae). *Comunicações do Museu de Ciência e Tecnologia da PUCRS - Série Zoologia*, 2000, 13(2), 167-176.
- FU, C., WU, J., CHEN, J., WU, Q. and LEI, G. Freshwater fish biodiversity in the Yangtze River basin of China: patterns, threats and conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 2003, 12(8), 1649-1685. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1023697714517.
- GALAT, D.L. and ZWEIMÜLLER, I. Conserving largeriver fishes: is the highway analogy an appropriate paradigm? *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 2001, 20(2), 266-279. http://dx.doi. org/10.2307/1468321.
- GOGOLA, T.M., DAGA, V.S., SILVA, P.R.L., SANCHES, P.V., GUBIANI, É.A., BAUMGARTNER, G. and DELARIVA, R.L. Spatial and temporal distribution patterns of ichthyoplankton in a region affected by water regulation by dams. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2010, 8(2), 341-349. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252010000200013.
- GOGOLA, T.M., SANCHES, P.V., GUBIANI, É.A. and DA SILVA, P.R. Spatial and temporal variations in fish larvae assemblages of Ilha Grande National Park, Brazil. *Ecology Freshwater Fish*, 2013, 22(1), 95-105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eff.12007.

- GRAÇA, W.J. and PAVANELLI, C.S. Peixes da planície de inundação do alto rio Paraná e áreas adjacentes. Maringá: EDUEM, 2007, 241 p.
- HERMES-SILVA, S., REYNALTE-TATAJE, D. and ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. Spatial and temporal distribution of ichthyoplankton in the upper Uruguay River, Brazil. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 2009, 52(4), 933-944. http://dx.doi. org/10.1590/S1516-89132009000400017.
- JUNK, W.J., BAYLEY, P.B. and SPARKS, R.E. The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems. *Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 1989, 106(1), 110-127.
- LANGEANI, F., CASTRO, R.M.C., OYAKAWA, O.T., SHIBATTA, O.A., PAVANELLI, C.S. and CASATTI, L. Diversidade da ictiofauna do Alto Rio Paraná: composição atual e perspectivas futuras. *Biota Neotropica*, 2007, 7(3), 181-197. http://dx.doi. org/10.1590/S1676-06032007000300020.
- LANSAC-TÔHA, F.A., BONECKER, C.C. and VELHO, L.F.M. Composition, species richness and abundance of the zooplankton community. In: S.M. THOMAZ, A.A. AGOSTINHO and N.S. HAHN, eds. *The Upper Paraná River and its floodplain: physical aspects, ecology and conservation.* Leiden: Backhuys Publishers, 2004, pp. 145-190.
- LÓPEZ-CASAS, S., JIMÉNEZ-SEGURA, L.F., AGOSTINHO, A.A. and PÉREZ, C.M. Potamodromous migrations in the Magdalena River basin: bimodal reproductive patterns in neotropical rivers. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 2016, 89(1), 157-171. PMid:27073186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ jfb.12941.
- MINISTÉRIO DO MEIO AMBIENTE MMA. Avaliação e identificação de áreas e ações prioritárias para conservação, utilização sustentável e repartição dos benefícios da biodiversidade nos biomas brasileiros. Brasília: MMA/SBF, 2002, 404 p.
- NAKATANI, K., AGOSTINHO, A.A., BAUMGARTNER, G., BIALETZKI, A., SANCHES, P.V., MAKRAKIS, M.C. and PAVANELLI, C.S. Ovos e larvas de peixes de água doce: desenvolvimento e manual de identificação. Maringá: EDUEM, 2001, 378 p.
- NAKATANI, K., BIALETZKI, A., BAUMGARTNER, G., SANCHES, P.V. and MAKRAKIS, M.C. Temporal and spatial dynamics of fish eggs and larvae. In: S.M. THOMAZ, A.A. AGOSTINHO and N.S. HAHN, eds. *The Upper Paraná River and its floodplain: physical aspects, ecology and conservation.* Leiden: Backhuys Publishers, 2004, pp. 293-308.
- NANNINI, M.A., GOODRICH, J., DETTMERS, J.M., SOLUK, D.A. and WAHL, D.H. Larval and early juvenile fish dynamics in main channel and backwater lake habitats of the Illinois River ecosystem. *Ecology Freshwater Fish*, 2012, 21(4), 499-509. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0633.2012.00568.x.

- NILSSON, C. and BERGGREN, K. Alterations of riparian ecosystems caused by river regulation. *Bioscience*, 2000, 50(9), 783-792. http://dx.doi. org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0783:AOREC B]2.0.CO;2.
- NORMANDO, F.T., SANTIAGO, K.B., GOMES, M.V.T., RIZZO, E. and BAZZOLI, N. Impact of the Três Marias dam on the reproduction of the forage fish Astyanax bimaculatus and A. fasciatus from the São Francisco River, downstream from the dam, southeastern Brazil. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 2014, 97(3), 309-319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10641-013-0153-3.
- NUNES, D.M.F., MAGALHÁES, A.L.B., WEBER, A.A., GOMES, R.Z., NORMANDO, F.T., SANTIAGO, K.B., RIZZO, E. and BAZZOLI, N. Influence of a large dam and importance of an undammed tributary on the reproductive ecology of the threatened fish matrinxã *Brycon orthotaenia* Günther, 1864 (Characiformes: Bryconidae) in southeastern Brazil. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2015, 13(2), 317-324. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20140084.
- OKSANEN, J., BLANCHET, F.G., FRIENDLY, M., KINDT, R., LEGENDRE, P., MCGLINN, D., MINCHIN, P.R., O'HARA, R.B., SIMPSON, G.L., SOLYMOS, P., STEVENS, M.H.H., SZOECS, E. and WAGNER, H. VEGAN: community ecology package. R package version 2.4-0. 2012 [viewed 29 Nov. 2016]. Available from: https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=vegan
- OLDEN, J.D. Challenges and opportunities for fish conservation in dam-impacted water. In: G.P. CLOSS, M. KRKOSEK, J.D. OLDEN, eds. *Conservation of freshwater fishes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016, pp. 107-148.
- PAIVA, M.P. *Grandes represas do Brasil.* Brasília: Editerra Editorial, 1982, 292 p.
- PELICICE, F.M. and AGOSTINHO, A.A. Fish-passage facilities as ecological traps in large neotropical rivers. *Conservation Biology*, 2008, 22(1), 180-188. PMid:18254863. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00849.x.
- PETERS, R.K. The role of prediction in limnology. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 1986, 31(5), 1143-1159. http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1986.31.5.1143.
- PETRY, A.C., AGOSTINHO, A.A. and GOMES, L.C. Fish assemblages of tropical floodplain lagoons: exploring the role of connectivity in a dry year. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2003, 1(2), 111-119. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252003000200005.
- POMPEU, P.S. Rios de preservação permanente: uma alternativa para conservação da ictiofauna. *Ação Ambiental*, 2012, 13(47), 12-14.
- R CORE TEAM. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, 2015 [viewed 29 Nov. 2016]. Available from: http://www.R-project.org/

- REIS, R.E., KULLANDER, S.O. and FERRARIS JUNIOR, C.J. *Check list of the freshwater fishes of South and Central America*. Porto Alegre: EDIPURCS, 2003, 729 p.
- REYNALTE-TATAJE, D.A., AGOSTINHO, A.A. and BIALETZKI, A. Temporal and spatial distributions of the fish larval assemblages of the Ivinheima River sub-basin (Brazil). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 2013, 96(7), 811-822. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10641-012-0073-7.
- REYNALTE-TATAJE, D.A., AGOSTINHO, A.A., BIALETZKI, A., HERMES-SILVA, S., FERNANDES, R. and ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. Spatial and temporal variation of the ichthyoplankton in a subtropical river in Brazil. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 2012a, 94(2), 403-419. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s10641-011-9955-3.
- REYNALTE-TATAJE, D.A., NUŃER, A.P., NUNES, M.C., GARCIA, V., LOPES, C.A. and ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. Spawning of migratory fish species between two reservoirs of the upper Uruguay River, Brazil. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2012b, 10(4), 829-835. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252012000400016.
- REYNALTE-TATAJE, D.A., HERMES-SILVA, S., SILVA, M.M.C., ABBUD, F.M., CORREA, R.N. and ZANIBONI-FILHO, E. Distribuição de ovos e larvas de peixes na área de influência do reservatório de Itá (Alto rio Uruguai). In: E. ZANIBONI-FILHO and A.P.O. NUŃER, eds. *Reservatório de Itá: estudos ambientais, desenvolvimento de tecnologias de cultivo e conservação de ictiofauna*. Florianópolis: Editora UFSC, 2008, pp. 127-158.
- REYNALTE-TATAJE, D.A., NAKATANI, K., FERNANDES, R., AGOSTINHO, A.A. and BIALETZKI, A. Temporal distribution of ichthyoplankton in the Ivinhema River (Mato Grosso do Sul State/Brazil): influence of environmental variables. *Neotropical Ichthyology*, 2011, 9(2), 427-436. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252011005000017.
- ROBERTO, M.C., SANTANA, N.F. and THOMAZ, S.M. Limnology in the Upper Paraná River floodplain: large-scale spatial and temporal patterns, and the influence of reservoirs. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, 2009, 69(2), 717-725. Supplement. PMid:19738977. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842009000300025.
- ROSA, R.R., SILVA, J.C. and BIALETZKI, A. Reproductive success of threatened freshwater fish Salminus brasiliensis (Characiformes, Characidae) in Neotropical floodplain. *Marine & Freshwater Research.* No prelo.
- SANCHES, P.V., NAKATANI, K., BIALETZKI, A., BAUMGARTNER, G., GOMES, L.C. and

LUIZ, E.A. Flow regulation by dams affecting ichthyoplankton: the case of the Porto Primavera Dam, Paraná River, Brasil. *River Research and Applications*, 2006, 22(5), 555-565. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rra.922.

- SATO, Y., BAZZOLI, N., RIZZO, E., BOSCHI, M.B. and MIRANDA, M.O. Influence of the Abaeté River on the reproductive success of the neotropical migratory teleost *Prochilodus argenteus* in the São Francisco River, downstream from the Três Marias Dam, southeastern Brazil. *River Research and Applications*, 2005, 21(8), 939-950. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rra.859.
- SILVA, C.B., DIAS, J.D. and BIALETZKI, A. Fish larvae diversity in a conservation area of a Neotropical floodplain: influence of temporal and spatial scales. *Hydrobiologia*, 2016, 787(1), 1-12. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s10750-016-2953-x.
- SILVA, P.S., MAKRAKIS, M.C., MIRANDA, L.E., MAKRAKIS, S., ASSUMPÇÃO, L., PAULA, S., DIAS, J.H.P. and MARQUES, H. Importance of reservoir tributaries to spawning of migratory fish in the upper Paraná River. *River Research and Applications*, 2015, 31(3), 313-322. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rra.2755.
- SMITH, W.S., BIAGIONI, R.C. and HALCSIK, L. Fish fauna of Floresta Nacional de Ipanema, São Paulo state, Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, 2013, 13(2), 175-181. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032013000200016.
- STANFORD, J.A. and WARD, J.V. Revisiting the serial discontinuity concept. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management*, 2001, 17(4-5), 303-310. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rrr.659.
- STATSOFT INC. Statistica: data analysis software system. Version 7.1. Palo Alto: TIBCO Software Inc., 2005 [viewed 29 Nov. 2016]. Available from: www. statsoft.com
- STEVAUX, J. The upper Paraná River (Brazil): geomorphology, sedimentology and paleoclimatology. *Quaternary International*, 1994, 21, 143-161. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/1040-6182(94)90028-0.
- SUZUKI, H.I., PELICICE, F.M., LUIZ, E.A., LATINI, J.D. and AGOSTINHO, A.A. Reproductive strategies of the fish community of the Upper Paraná River floodplain LTER – Site 6 – (PELD). In: A.A. AGOSTINHO, L. RODRIGUES, L.L. GOMES,

S.M. THOMAZ and L.E. MIRANDA, eds. *Structure and functioning of the Paraná River and its floodplain*. Maringá: EDUEM, 2004, pp. 125-130.

- TAKEDA, A.M., FUJITA, D.S. and FONTES JUNIOR, H.M. Perspectives on exotic bivalves proliferation in the upper Paraná River floodplain. In: A.A. AGOSTINHO, L. RODRIGUES, L.L. GOMES, S.M. THOMAZ and L.E. MIRANDA, eds. Structure and functioning of the Paraná River and its floodplain. Maringá: EDUEM, 2004, pp. 15-18.
- TANAKA, S. Stock assessment by means of ichthyoplankton surveys. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 1973, 122, 33-51.
- TRAIN, S. and RODRIGUES, L. Phytoplanktonic assemblages. In: A.A. AGOSTINHO, L. RODRIGUES, L.L. GOMES and S.M. THOMAZ. *The Upper Paraná River and its floodplain: physical aspects, ecology and conservation.* Leiden: Backhuys Publishers, 2004, pp. 103-124.
- WARD, J.V. and STANFORD, J.A. The serial discontinuity concept: extending the model to floodplain rivers. *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management*, 1995, 10(2-4), 159-168. http://dx.doi. org/10.1002/rrr.3450100211.
- WEBBER, P.A., BESTGEN, K.R. and HAINES, G.B. Tributary spawning by endangered Colorado River basin fishes in the White River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 2013, 33(6), 1166-1171. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02755947.2013.8 29142.
- WEBER, A.A., NUNES, D.M.F., GOMES, R.Z., RIZZO, E., SANTIAGO, K.B. and BAZZOLI, N. Downstream impacts of a dam and influence of a tributary on the reproductive success of *Leporinus reinhardti* in Sáo Francisco River. *Aquatic Biology*, 2013, 19(2), 195-200. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/ ab00531.
- WELCOMME, R.L. *Fisheries ecology of floodplain rivers*. London: Longman Group (Far East), 1979, 317 p.
- WELCOMME, R.L. River Fisheries. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 1985, 262, 134-184.

Received: 29 November 2016 Accepted: 15 September 2017